

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/641,933	Applicant(s) PEARLSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner George L. Walton	Art Unit 3753	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 2nd Action Non-Final

(1) Mr. Jonathan R. Sick - attorney.

(3) Mr. George L. Walton - examiner.

(2) Mr. Herbert D. Hart III - attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 December 2004

Time: 2:00 PM

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Zheng et al (EP 0, 916, 891 A3 and U.S. Patent No. 6,314, 986 B1.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/6/04
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of the above prior art, the original filed claims overcome the previous art rejection dated July 2, 2002. This is a direct result of the applicants amending the previous unexecuted declaration. Upon the filing of a new executed declaration, the previous art rejection is hereby overcome and the instant application is now in condition for allowance.